

RESTON, VA 20190-5061

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. FILING DATE CONFIRMATION NO. 10/650,092 BLTC002/00US 08/28/2003 Adam David Mongeau 5903 **EXAMINER** 22903 7590 09/21/2004 **COOLEY GODWARD LLP** KING, ANITA M ATTN: PATENT GROUP ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 11951 FREEDOM DRIVE, SUITE 1700 ONE FREEDOM SQUARE- RESTON TOWN CENTER 3632

DATE MAILED: 09/21/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

·	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary The MAILING DATE of this communication app			4)
	10/650,092	MONGEAU, ADAM DAVID	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Anita M. King	the correspondence address	
Period for Reply			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (3 vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH: cause the application to become ABAN	y be timely filed 10) days will be considered timely. S from the mailing date of this communication. DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02 Ju</u> 2a)□ This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This 3)□ Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters		
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-43 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 9,10 and 24-26 is/are 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-8,11-23,27-29,31 and 33-43 is/are re 7) ☐ Claim(s) 30 and 32 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	withdrawn from consideration	on.	
Application Papers			
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 28 August 2003 is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the or Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner 11.	a) accepted or b) dobject drawing(s) be held in abeyance ion is required if the drawing(s)	. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priorical application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in App ity documents have been re ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	lication No ceived in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/M	nmary (PTO-413) fail Date rmal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Art Unit: 3632

This is the second office action for application number 10/650,092, Mount for an Input Device, filed on August 28, 2003.

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election without traverse of species II in the reply filed on July 2, 2004 is acknowledged.

Claims 9, 10, and 24-26 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in the reply filed on July 7, 2004. The claimed subject matter of the above-mentioned claims is drawn to Figs. 6-8, which were not elected.

Drawings

The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they do not include the following reference sign(s) mentioned in the description: "173," a shaft. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement-drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified

Art Unit: 3632

and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Specification

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informality: reference number "158" is used to designate "a first side" in paragraph 31, line 5 and "a first end portion" in paragraph 32, line 1.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Objections

Claim 28 is objected to because of the following informality: in line 2, "first " appears to be incorrect and should be –second--. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 15 and 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

For example, there is an inconsistency between the language in the abovementioned claim and the body of claim 27 from which it directly depends, thereby making the scope of the claim unclear. The body of claim 27 clearly indicates that a

Art Unit: 3632

subcombination is being claimed, e.g., "a support member having a mount configured to couple a first device...". This language would lead the examiner to believe that the applicant intends to claim only the subcombination of "an apparatus comprising a support member and a clamp," the first device and the second device being only functionally recited. This presents no problem as long as the body of the claim also refers to the first device and the second device functionally.

The problem arises when the first device is positively recited as an element of the claimed invention in claim 28. The examiner cannot be sure if applicant's intent is to claim merely the apparatus or the apparatus in combination with the first device and the second device.

Applicant is required to clarify what the claims are intended to be drawn to, i.e., either the apparatus alone or the combination of the apparatus and the devices.

Applicant should make the language of the claim consistent with applicant's intent. In formulating a rejection on the merits, the examiner is considering that the claims are drawn to the subcombination and the claims will be rejected accordingly. If applicant indicates by amendment that the combination claim is the intention, the language in the preamble should be made consistent with the language in the body of the claims. If the intent is to claim the subcombination, then the body of the claims must be amended to remove positive recitation of the combination.

Also, see claim 15 in regards to the further limiting of the input device being a keyboard.

Art Unit: 3632

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 3, 4, 8, 16, 18-20, 27, 29, 31, 36, and 41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent 3,091,886 to Nutting. Nutting discloses an apparatus (F), comprising: a support member (12); a mount (H) coupled to the support member and configured to removably retain a device without modification to the device; wherein the mount has a first retention member (71) and a second retention member or clamp (76), the first retention member and the second retention member are collectively configured to removably retain the device on the mount; wherein the first retention member is fixedly coupled to the mount, the second retention member is coupled to the mount is movably with respect to the first retention member between a first position and a second position, a distance between the first retention member and the second retention member when the second retention member is in its first position is greater than a distance between the first retention member and the second retention member when the second retention member is in its second position; and wherein the mount is pivotally coupled (@13) to the support member and is configured to pivot from a first position to a second position substantially perpendicular to the first position.

In regards to claims 27, 29, and 31, Nutting discloses an apparatus comprising; a support member (H) having a mount (14) configured to couple a first device and a

Art Unit: 3632

clamp coupled to the support member and configured to removably retain a second device; wherein the clamp has a first retention member (71) and a second retention member (76), the first retention member and the second retention member are collectively configured to removably retain the device on the clamp; and wherein the clamp has a first elongate member (70, 71) and a second elongate member (76), the first elongate member is slidably coupled to the second elongate member.

Claims 1, 2, 7, 8, 11, 13-15, 17, and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent 4,779,922 to Cooper. Cooper discloses an apparatus (10), comprising: a support member (14); a mount (16) coupled to the support member and configured to removably retain an input device (17) without modification to the input device; the mount being a first mount, the apparatus further comprising a second mount (18) coupled to the support member and configured to couple to an output device (19); wherein the mount is pivotally coupled to the support member and is configured to pivot from a first position to a second position substantially perpendicular to the first position; wherein the mount is configured to removably retain an input device for a therapeutic apparatus; wherein the mount is configured to contact a first side of the input device (the front side of 17) and a second side (the bottom surface of 17) of the input device, the second side of the input device is opposite the first side of the input device; wherein the input device is a keyboard that includes a plurality of keys, the mount is configured to removably retain the keyboard such that the plurality of keys of the keyboard are positioned to be used by a user; and wherein the mount is slidably coupled to the support member in a first direction (sliding up the mount 14), a second direction (sliding

Art Unit: 3632

down the mount 14) opposite from the first direction, and a third direction (pivoting at 72) different from the first direction and the second direction.

Claims 1-4, 6, 8, 11-15, 16-19, 23, 27-29, 34-37, 40, 42, and 43 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent 4,844,387 to Sorgi et al., hereinafter, Sorgi. Sorgi discloses an apparatus, comprising: a support member (11); a mount (109) coupled to the support member and configured to removably retain an input device without modification to the input device; the mount being a first mount, the apparatus further comprising a second mount (23) coupled to the support member and configured to couple to an output device; wherein the mount has a first retention member (Fig. 3, upwardly angled flange of element 23) and a second retention member (111), the first retention member and the second retention member are collectively configured to removably retain the input device on the mount; wherein the first retention member is fixedly coupled to the mount, the second retention member is coupled to the mount and is movably with respect to the first retention member between a first position and a second position, a distance between the first retention member and the second retention member when the second retention member is in its first position is greater than a distance between the first retention member and the second retention member when the second retention member is in its second position; a first elongate member (23) and a second elongate member (109), the first elongate member is slidably coupled to the second elongate member, at least one of the first elongate member and the second elongate member configured to retain the input device on the mount; wherein the mount is configured to removably retain an input device for a therapeutic

Art Unit: 3632

apparatus; wherein the mount is configured to contact a first side of the input device and a second side of the input device, the second side of the input device is parallel or opposite to the first side of the input device; wherein the input device is a keyboard that includes a plurality of keys, the mount is configured to removably retain the keyboard such that the plurality of keys of the keyboard are positioned to be used by a user;

In regards to claims 27-29, 34, and 35, Sorgi discloses an apparatus, comprising: a support member having a mount (top surface of 23) configured to couple a first device; a clamp (109) coupled to the support member and configured to removably retain a second device, the second device being an input device associated with the first device; wherein the first device is an output device associated with the first device; wherein the clamp has a first retention member (111) and a second retention member (flange of element 23), the first retention member and the second retention member are collectively configured to removably retain the input device on the clamp; wherein the mount is configured to couple to an output device associated with a therapeutic apparatus, the clamp is configured to removably retain an input device associated with the therapeutic apparatus; and wherein the clamp is configured to contact a first side of the input device and a second side of the input device, the second side of the input device is opposite the first side of the input device.

In regards to claims 42 and 43, Sorgi inherently teaches the method of positioning an input device on a mount, comprising the steps of: moving the second retention member of the mount from a first position to the second position; disposing the input device between the first retention member of the mount and the second retention

Art Unit: 3632

member of the mount; positioning the second retention member of the mount from the second position to a third position; and pivoting the mount and the input device from a first orientation to a second orientation substantially perpendicular to the first orientation.

Claims 1, 3-6, 8, 11-13, 16, 18-21, 23, 36, 38, 39, and 41-43 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent 5,769,369 to Meinel. Meinel discloses an apparatus, comprising: a support member (22, 34); a mount (14) coupled to the support member and configured to removably retain an input device (12) without modification to the input device; wherein the mount has a first retention member (16, bottom Fig. 3), and a second retention device (16, top Fig. 3), the first retention member and the second retention member are collectively configured to removably retain the input device on the mount; wherein the first retention member is fixedly coupled to the mount, the second retention member is coupled to the mount and is movable with respect to the first retention member between a first position and a second position, a distance between the first retention member and the second retention member when the second retention member is in its first position is greater than a distance between the first retention member and the second retention member when the second retention member is in its second position; wherein the second retention member is biased (using 20) to its second position; wherein the mount has a first elongate member (14) and a second elongate member (16), the first elongate member is slidably coupled to the second elongate member, at least one of the first elongate member and the second elongate member configured to retain the input device on the mount; wherein the mount is pivotally coupled (@24) to the support member and is configured to pivot from a first

Art Unit: 3632

position to a second position substantially perpendicular to the first position; wherein the mount is configured to removably retain an input device for a therapeutic apparatus; and wherein the mount is configured to contact a first side of the input device and a second side of the input device, the second side of the input device is parallel or opposite from the first side of the input device.

In regards to claims 42 and 43, Meinel inherently teaches the method of positioning an input device on a mount, comprising the steps of: moving the second retention member of the mount from a first position to the second position; disposing the input device between the first retention member of the mount and the second retention member of the mount; positioning the second retention member of the mount from the second position to a third position; and pivoting the mount and the input device from a first orientation to a second orientation substantially perpendicular to the first orientation.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 27-29 and 33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent 5,918,841 to Sweere et al., hereinafter, Sweere and in view of U.S. Patent 6,491,268 to Channer et al., hereinafter, Channer. Sweere discloses an apparatus, comprising: a support member (1200) having a mount (24) configured to

Art Unit: 3632

couple to a first device; wherein the first device is an output device (216); and a platform (1010) for supporting a second device being an input device associated with the first device.

Sweere discloses the claimed invention except for the limitation of a clamp coupled to the support member. Channer teaches that it is known to have an apparatus comprising a support member (1) having a mount (3) configured to couple a device (2); a clamp (7) coupled to the support member and configured to removably retain the device, the device being an input device; wherein the clamp has a first retention member and a second retention member, the first and second retention members are collectively configured to removably retain the input device; wherein the clamp is pivotally coupled to the support member and is configured to pivot from a first position to a second position, at least one of the first position and the second position being substantially vertical. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the support member in Sweere to have included the clamps as taught by Channer for the purpose of clamping the input device to the support member in an effort to prevent the input device from sliding off the support member during use of the apparatus.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 30 and 32 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Art Unit: 3632

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

- U.S. Patent 4,165,856 to Wiseheart
- U.S. Patent 4,848,710 to Newman
- U.S. Patent 4,938,441 to Hannah et al.
- U.S. Patent 4,974,808 to Ball
- U.S. Patent 5,040,760 to Singer
- U.S. Patent 5,108,063 to Koerber, Sr. et al.
- U.S. Patent 5,630,566 to Case
- U.S. Patent 5,979,940 to Araghi
- U.S. Patent 6,394,402 to Coonan et al.
- U.S. Patent 6,470,809 to Herbert
- U.S. Patent 6,604,720 to Wilson

Wiseheart discloses an adjustable easel having a flat surface board and work holding clamps. Newman discloses a support device for the monitor and keyboard of a personal computer. Hannah et al. disclose a slide plate adjustment mechanism for a keyboard support. Ball discloses a corner-mounting unit for a computer. Singer discloses a support for a keyboard for a computer resting on spaced apart feet on a narrow support surface. Koerber, Sr. et al. disclose an extendible and retractable computer-mounting arm for mounting a computer. Case discloses a portable ergonomic workstation. Araghi discloses a book holding apparatus. Coonan et al. disclose a

Art Unit: 3632

vertically adjustable mobile computer workstation. Herbert discloses an adjustable holding device for supporting computers in motor vehicles. Wilson discloses a portable PC workstation.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Anita M. King whose telephone number is (703) 308-2162. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Leslie A. Braun can be reached on (703) 308-2156. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Anita M. King
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3632

September 15, 2004